



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/032,154	12/20/2001	Michael P. Cornaby	10559-642001/P12486	3570
20985 7	7590 12/02/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICI P.O. BOX 102	HARDSON, PC		KIM, KEN	NETH S
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2111	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
065 - A-1' O	10/032,154	CORNABY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kenneth S. KIM	2111			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin  11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  12 cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 No.	ovember 2005				
_	<del></del>				
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	,				
4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.		,			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	/				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1.8 and 26-38</u> is/are rejected.					
	_				
7) Claim(s) 2-7 and 9-25 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	WART EXAMINE:			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	-	• •			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori					
application from the International Bureau		d in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ad.			
and the distance designed distance design for a list of	or the defined depice flot receive				
Attachment(s)	. 🗖				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) LI Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	•			

Application/Control Number: 10/032,154 Page 2

Art Unit: 2111

1. Claims 1-38 remain for examination.

2. Claims 1, 8, and 26-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated

by Beckwith et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,136,696.

The rejection is respectfully maintained for the reasons set forth in the previous office action incorporated herein by reference. (Prediction must be validated; col. 10, line 60)

3. Applicant's arguments filed November 4, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that the reference does not teach sequencing microinstructions out-of-order.

The reference teaches sequencing microinstructions based upon branch prediction, and out-of-order sequencing occurs when sequencing is based on wrong prediction.

Furthermore the claims do not recite such a limitation.

Applicant further argued that the reference does not teach a three way superscalar processor. The stack taught in the reference can be incorporated in any processor including a three way superscalar processor.

Application/Control Number: 10/032,154 Page 3

Art Unit: 2111

4. Claims 2-7 and 9-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth S KIM whose telephone number is (571) 272-3627. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-17:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (571) 272-3632. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for all communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

\*\*\*

November 23, 2005

KENNETH S. KIM
BIMARY EXAMINER